



10.22034/JAPB.2025.175

Applications of Blockchain Technology in Plant Sciences: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Directions

Mahdi Akhondi^{1*}, Farinaz Moradi¹

¹Department of Biology, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran.

*Corresponding author Email: M_akhondi@pnu.ac.ir

Article Received: 23 Oct.2025, Revised: 7 Nov.2025, Accepted: 8 Dec.2025

Abstract

Blockchain technology has emerged as a transformative digital infrastructure capable of addressing critical challenges in plant sciences, including data integrity, traceability of high-value crops, secure germplasm authentication, and multi-stakeholder collaboration. The increasing use of genomics, phenotyping, and IoT-enabled monitoring in plant research has generated massive datasets, revealing the limitations of conventional centralized databases, such as vulnerability to tampering, restricted transparency, and single points of failure. Blockchain's core attributes—immutability, decentralization, and cryptographic verification—offer robust solutions for maintaining trusted records across research institutions, breeding programs, supply chains, and regulatory agencies. Recent studies demonstrate that blockchain can enhance end-to-end traceability in agri-food systems, reduce fraud in high-value plant products, and improve reproducibility in plant research by time-stamping experimental data. Smart contracts allow automated enforcement of rules, such as certification of crop quality, verification of seed origin, and controlled access to research datasets. Despite these advantages, significant challenges remain, including scalability limitations, interoperability with legacy systems, energy consumption in certain consensus mechanisms, and digital literacy requirements for farmers. Comparative analyses show that blockchain's suitability varies depending on the context, and in some cases, alternative distributed or centralized systems may offer more efficient solutions. This paper provides a comprehensive review of blockchain applications in plant sciences, integrating technical considerations, real-world case studies, ethical and regulatory aspects, and future research directions. By synthesizing current evidence, identifying research gaps, and proposing forward-looking insights, this review clarifies blockchain's realistic potential and guides its responsible implementation in plant science research and industry.

Keywords: Blockchain Technology, Plant Sciences, Smart Contracts, Germplasm Authentication, Data Integrity, IoT-Enabled Agriculture

1. Introduction

Blockchain technology, initially conceptualized for supporting cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin (11), has rapidly evolved into a versatile framework applicable across multiple industries, including agriculture and plant sciences. Its defining features—decentralization, immutability, cryptographic security, and distributed consensus—enable trusted record-keeping without reliance on centralized authorities. These properties address key challenges in plant sciences, including data integrity, traceability of high-value crops, authentication of germplasm, and transparent collaboration among multiple stakeholders such as researchers, farmers, breeders, regulators, and consumers (8, 10).

The field of plant sciences encompasses genetics, genomics, phenotyping, agronomy, plant pathology, and biotechnology. These domains generate extensive datasets that are critical for research reproducibility, breeding program efficiency, and supply chain management. Traditional data management systems, often centralized and fragmented, are vulnerable to tampering, loss, or delayed updates. This is particularly problematic in high-value crops like saffron, ginseng, vanilla, and medicinal plants, where adulteration, mislabeling, and documentation fraud are prevalent (2). Blockchain technology offers a promising alternative by providing immutable, time-stamped records that are auditable and verifiable, thus fostering trust among all participants.

A driving factor for blockchain adoption in plant sciences is the increasing global emphasis on transparency, sustainability, and traceable agriculture. Regulatory frameworks such as the European Union's Farm-to-Fork Strategy, U.S. Food Traceability requirements, and ISO 22005 standards highlight the need for accurate and verifiable records across agricultural value chains (4). Blockchain inherently supports these objectives by maintaining an auditable ledger of all transactions, transformations, and movements of plant-based products.

Integration with digital agriculture technologies, including IoT-enabled sensors, remote sensing platforms, and precision agriculture systems, further enhances blockchain's utility. IoT devices can capture environmental data such as soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels, transmitting these directly to a blockchain ledger to ensure data authenticity (14). Smart contracts enable automated execution of predefined rules, including quality control for seeds, conditional payments to farmers, and IP management for plant breeders (1).

Despite these potential advantages, blockchain implementation faces multiple challenges. Scalability limitations, interoperability issues with legacy databases, energy consumption in proof-of-work consensus mechanisms, and the digital literacy required for effective adoption are significant barriers (9, 13). Comparative analyses indicate that in some scenarios, centralized or alternative distributed systems may

provide greater efficiency or cost-effectiveness (3). Moreover, ethical considerations, such as data privacy compliance under GDPR and equitable access for smallholder farmers, require careful attention.

Several industries have piloted blockchain in agriculture, particularly where high-value or fraud-prone products are involved. For instance, IBM Food Trust demonstrated improved traceability and rapid response to contamination in leafy greens (7). In the saffron industry, blockchain-based systems have been proposed to authenticate origin, prevent adulteration, and stabilize pricing (6). These applications illustrate the potential benefits but also highlight the need for tailored system architectures, governance models, and standardized data protocols in plant science contexts.

Given the growing complexity of plant-based research and commerce, a comprehensive evaluation of blockchain's applications, limitations, ethical considerations, and integration strategies is essential. This review addresses these dimensions by synthesizing current research, presenting real-world case studies, and critically analyzing technical and social trade-offs. In doing so, it provides a foundation for researchers, industry practitioners, and policymakers to understand the realistic potential of blockchain in plant sciences and to guide its responsible implementation.

Ultimately, this introduction establishes the conceptual and technical framework for subsequent sections of the paper, including a detailed review of literature, practical applications, comparative analyses, ethical and regulatory aspects, limitations, and future research directions. It underscores blockchain's role not just as a technological tool, but as a foundational infrastructure for advancing reproducibility, transparency, and sustainability in plant science research and industry.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Existing Applications of Blockchain in Agriculture

Blockchain technology has been increasingly investigated for its potential to improve traceability, security, and efficiency in agricultural systems. Early applications focused primarily on the agri-food supply chain, aiming to mitigate issues such as fraud, contamination, and lack of transparency (8, 15). For example, blockchain-based platforms have enabled the tracking of crop provenance, certification of organic products, and verification of fair-trade practices. These systems rely on the decentralized ledger to ensure that each transaction—from farm to distributor to retailer—is immutable and time-stamped, allowing stakeholders to verify product authenticity and quality.

Several studies have highlighted the integration of Internet of Things (IoT) devices with blockchain to enhance agricultural data collection. IoT sensors can capture soil conditions, irrigation status, temperature, and humidity, automatically transmitting this information to a blockchain ledger. This approach ensures that environmental and phenotypic data remain authentic and resistant to manipulation (14). Moreover, smart contracts enable automated execution of rules, such as payment release upon delivery confirmation or

automated quality checks, reducing the need for intermediaries and minimizing human error (1).

Pilot projects across various crops demonstrate tangible benefits. IBM Food Trust has shown that blockchain can reduce the time required for traceability from days to seconds in leafy greens, improving food safety and response to contamination (7). In high-value crops such as saffron, blockchain has been suggested to mitigate fraud and improve price stability by authenticating origin and quality (6). While these applications primarily target supply chain transparency, they establish a foundation for scientific research and germplasm tracking in plant sciences.

2.2. Implications for Plant Sciences

Despite the growing use of blockchain in agriculture, studies specifically focusing on plant science applications remain limited. However, the principles demonstrated in supply chain applications can be extended to research and breeding programs. In genomics and phenomics, for example, blockchain could provide a tamper-resistant ledger for recording experimental protocols, plant growth data, and breeding outcomes. Time-stamped records of germplasm handling, cross-breeding, or phenotypic measurements could enhance reproducibility and reliability, addressing long-standing concerns in plant research regarding data integrity (9).

Furthermore, blockchain can facilitate secure collaboration between multiple research institutions. Data sharing often encounters challenges related to intellectual property rights, data privacy, and provenance verification. By leveraging smart contracts, research teams can automate access permissions and enforce licensing agreements, ensuring that sensitive data is only accessed under predefined conditions. This approach is particularly relevant in plant science domains where breeding lines, genetic sequences, or proprietary experimental methods are valuable assets.

Blockchain may also assist in phenotyping platforms that use IoT or remote sensing. For instance, sensor-collected plant growth data can be stored on a distributed ledger, guaranteeing immutability while providing real-time access for authorized stakeholders. Such integration improves confidence in data, reduces duplication of effort, and facilitates longitudinal studies, ultimately supporting precision agriculture and plant breeding decisions (1, 14).

2.3. Research Gaps

Although promising, the literature reveals significant gaps in the application of blockchain to plant sciences. First, few studies provide empirical evidence with quantifiable outcomes. While pilot studies demonstrate feasibility, measurable impacts on research reproducibility, crop improvement efficiency, or germplasm management remain largely unexplored. Second, technical analyses of blockchain architecture tailored

for plant science are scarce. Questions regarding the choice between public, private, or consortium blockchains, consensus mechanisms suitable for IoT

integration, and data storage strategies (on-chain vs. off-chain) are under-investigated (3, 9).

Additionally, social and regulatory considerations are underrepresented. Adoption barriers, such as farmer or researcher digital literacy, costs of implementation, and compliance with data privacy regulations like GDPR, require further study. Comparative evaluations against conventional databases or other distributed ledger technologies are also limited, preventing a full understanding of trade-offs in different research or production contexts.

This literature review highlights the potential of blockchain to enhance transparency, reproducibility, and collaboration in plant sciences, while clearly indicating the need for targeted research. Addressing these gaps will inform practical implementation strategies and guide the development of blockchain frameworks that meet the unique needs of plant-based research and breeding programs.

3. Applications of Blockchain in Plant Sciences

3.1. Supply Chain Traceability

One of the most prominent applications of blockchain in agriculture is supply chain traceability, which ensures that plant-based products can be tracked from origin to consumer. High-value crops such as saffron, vanilla, medicinal herbs, and specialty grains are often subject to fraud, adulteration, or mislabeling (6). Blockchain allows each transaction and transfer along the supply chain to be recorded on an immutable ledger. This not only improves transparency but also increases consumer confidence and facilitates compliance with international regulatory standards (8).

For example, IBM Food Trust has implemented blockchain solutions to monitor leafy greens, reducing the time needed to trace contamination sources from weeks to seconds (7). Similarly, saffron supply chains have been proposed to benefit from blockchain-based traceability, ensuring authenticity of origin and certification of quality. By enabling end-to-end visibility, blockchain allows stakeholders—including farmers, processors, distributors, and retailers—to verify product provenance at each stage (15).

3.2. Germplasm Authentication and Genetic Resource Management

Beyond supply chains, blockchain can play a transformative role in plant breeding and germplasm management. Plant breeders require accurate records of genetic material, breeding events, and experimental outcomes to ensure reproducibility and intellectual property protection. Traditionally, these records have been maintained in centralized databases, paper logs, or fragmented digital systems, which are prone to errors or tampering (9).

Blockchain enables time-stamped, immutable records of each breeding event, genetic cross, or germplasm transfer. Smart contracts can automate access control, enforce licensing agreements, and track ownership of proprietary plant lines. This ensures that researchers and breeders can securely share data while maintaining IP

rights, reducing disputes and promoting collaborative research (1).

3.3. Integration with IoT and Phenotyping Platforms

Modern plant research increasingly relies on high-throughput phenotyping platforms and IoT-enabled environmental monitoring. Sensors capture detailed data on plant growth, soil conditions, temperature, humidity, and other environmental parameters (14). When combined with blockchain, these datasets become immutable and verifiable, enabling reliable longitudinal studies.

For instance, a breeding program tracking growth rates across multiple field sites can record sensor outputs directly to a blockchain ledger. This ensures that recorded measurements cannot be altered retrospectively, enhancing data integrity and reproducibility. Furthermore, blockchain allows authorized access control to sensitive datasets, facilitating multi-institutional collaborations while protecting proprietary data (9).

3.4. Smart Contracts for Quality Control and Payments

Smart contracts represent another practical application in plant sciences. These self-executing programs can enforce pre-defined rules automatically. In agriculture, smart contracts can trigger payments to farmers when crop quality meets predefined standards or release data only to authorized researchers (1).

For example, in a medicinal plant supply chain, smart contracts can verify that harvesting, processing, and storage comply with regulatory and quality standards before payments are released. This reduces disputes, ensures compliance, and incentivizes high-quality production. Similar mechanisms can be implemented in breeding programs to automate IP licensing, data sharing, or conditional rewards for collaborative research outcomes.

3.5. Blockchain-Enabled Traceability for Sustainability and Certification

Sustainability certifications, such as organic, fair-trade, or environmental impact labeling, can benefit significantly from blockchain. By recording every step of plant production on an immutable ledger, auditors and consumers can verify that production methods comply with certification standards (12).

For example, blockchain platforms have been used to authenticate organic vegetable supply chains, providing a secure record from seedling to harvest. This approach enhances transparency, discourages fraudulent claims, and provides a competitive advantage for producers adhering to sustainable practices (8).

3.6. Case Studies

3.6.1. Leafy Greens: IBM Food Trust

IBM Food Trust, a blockchain platform adopted by several leafy greens suppliers, demonstrated measurable improvements in traceability. Contamination events that previously took weeks to trace could now be identified in seconds, drastically reducing risk and associated costs (7).

3.6.2. Saffron Supply Chain

High-value saffron producers in Iran and Spain have explored blockchain to track origin and quality. Studies indicate that blockchain can mitigate adulteration and falsified labeling, ensuring traceability and price stability (6).

3.6.3. Medicinal Plants

Pilot projects in medicinal herb supply chains show that blockchain can securely record harvesting dates, processing conditions, and storage parameters, enabling compliance with regulatory standards and improving consumer trust (12).

4. Technical Architecture of Blockchain for Plant Sciences

4.1. Overview of Blockchain Types

Blockchain systems can be broadly classified into public, private, and consortium blockchains, each with unique characteristics suited to different applications (3, 9).

Public blockchains are open and decentralized, allowing any participant to read and write data. Bitcoin and Ethereum are prime examples. While public blockchains offer maximum transparency and security, they often suffer from low throughput, high latency, and substantial energy consumption, especially under proof-of-work (PoW) consensus. These limitations may hinder scalability for large-scale plant science data.

Private blockchains are permissioned networks where only authorized participants can join. They provide higher throughput, lower latency, and greater control over data privacy, making them suitable for sensitive plant research data, proprietary breeding programs, and institutional collaborations.

Consortium blockchains (also called federated blockchains) strike a balance between public and private models. Multiple trusted organizations share control over the ledger, allowing selective access, faster consensus, and reduced computational costs. For plant sciences, consortium blockchains can link research institutions, seed companies, regulatory bodies, and supply chain stakeholders, ensuring data integrity while preserving privacy (8).

4.2. Consensus Mechanisms

The consensus mechanism determines how transactions are validated and added to the blockchain. Choosing an appropriate mechanism is critical for plant science applications.

Proof of Work (PoW): Offers high security but is energy-intensive and slower. Suitable mainly for public networks with strong decentralization requirements. **Proof of Stake (PoS):** Energy-efficient, validators are selected based on stake. Useful for private or consortium networks where participants are known. **Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT):** Designed for permissioned networks, providing fast and deterministic finality, ideal for multi-institutional plant research collaborations.

Hybrid Models: Combining PoS or PBFT with off-chain storage can optimize scalability, throughput, and security

(9, 13). For plant sciences, PBFT or PoS-based permissioned blockchains are often the preferred choice, balancing efficiency, data privacy, and trustworthiness.

4.3. Data Storage Strategies

Plant science data spans genomics sequences, phenotypic observations, IoT sensor outputs, and supply chain transactions. The decision between on-chain vs. off-chain storage is crucial (14).

On-chain storage keeps all data directly on the blockchain, ensuring immutability and transparency. However, large datasets (e.g., high-resolution genomic or imaging data) can lead to performance bottlenecks and high costs.

Off-chain storage uses decentralized or cloud-based databases, with cryptographic hashes stored on the blockchain to guarantee data integrity. This approach allows storing large-scale experimental datasets while retaining verifiable records (8).

Hybrid approaches, combining on-chain metadata with off-chain bulk data, are increasingly adopted in plant research to ensure scalability, security, and auditability.

4.4. Smart Contracts and Automation

Smart contracts are programmable scripts that automatically execute rules when predefined conditions are met. In plant sciences, smart contracts can:

- Enforce access control to sensitive research data
- Automate quality checks in seed certification or phenotyping pipelines
- Manage intellectual property rights in breeding programs
- Trigger conditional payments in multi-stakeholder supply chains

For example, a consortium of research institutions can use a smart contract to release experimental datasets to collaborators only after specific validation steps are completed, ensuring data provenance and compliance with licensing agreements (1).

4.5. Integration with IoT and AI

Blockchain architecture for plant sciences often integrates with IoT and AI systems:

- IoT sensors collect environmental and phenotypic data.
- Data is hashed and recorded on the blockchain to ensure integrity.
- AI algorithms analyze growth patterns, disease risk, or breeding outcomes.
- Results are stored on-chain or linked via smart contracts to automate decisions or data sharing (9, 14).

This integration enables real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and automated governance, supporting precision agriculture, reproducible experiments, and informed breeding strategies.

4.6. Security, Privacy, and Regulatory Considerations

Data security and privacy are paramount in plant science applications. Blockchain provides immutable records

and cryptographic security, but additional layers are often necessary:

- Encryption: Sensitive genomic or breeding data can be encrypted before being recorded.
- Permissioned access: Only authorized participants can read or write sensitive datasets.
- Compliance with regulations: GDPR, plant variety protection laws, and seed certification standards must be respected (9).

Moreover, consortium governance models can define roles, responsibilities, and auditing procedures to ensure ethical, legal, and equitable data sharing among institutions and farmers

5. Comparative Analysis: Blockchain vs. Alternative Solutions in Plant Sciences

5.1. Centralized Databases

Centralized databases have long been the standard for managing plant science data, including germplasm repositories, breeding records, and phenotypic datasets (9). They provide high-speed access, structured storage, and well-established management protocols. However, centralized systems suffer from several limitations:

Single point of failure: If the central server is compromised or fails, data may be lost or corrupted.

Limited transparency: Users cannot independently verify the authenticity of records.

Security vulnerabilities: Centralized systems are prime targets for hacking or tampering, especially when sensitive genomic or breeding data are stored.

In contrast, blockchain offers decentralization, immutability, and cryptographic verification, ensuring that data cannot be altered without consensus from all participants (8). This is particularly beneficial in collaborative breeding programs, multi-institutional experiments, and high-value crop supply chains

5.2. Distributed Databases without Blockchain

Distributed databases provide redundancy by storing copies of data across multiple nodes, improving availability and fault tolerance. They are commonly used for large-scale phenotyping datasets and cloud-based repositories (14).

Advantages of distributed databases: High availability and fault tolerance: Data remain accessible even if some nodes fail.

Scalability: Designed to handle large volumes of data efficiently.

Limitations compared to blockchain:

Trust dependency: Participants must trust a central authority or administrator to ensure data integrity.

Auditability: While redundancy exists, historical data changes may not be fully transparent or verifiable.

Smart contract functionality: Distributed databases generally lack automated enforcement of rules or conditional transactions.

Blockchain addresses these gaps by combining distributed storage with cryptographic auditability and

programmable smart contracts, providing both technical security and operational automation (1).

5.3. Cloud-Based Solutions

Cloud computing platforms (e.g., AWS, Google Cloud, Azure) are widely used for data-intensive plant research, supporting storage, computation, and collaborative access. Cloud systems offer:

Elastic scalability: Storage and processing resources can be adjusted dynamically.

Global accessibility: Researchers can access data from anywhere.

Integration with AI/ML tools: Many cloud platforms provide built-in analytics and machine learning services.

However, cloud-based solutions have limitations:

Centralization: Data integrity relies on the cloud provider's security measures.

Trust concerns: Users must trust providers to prevent unauthorized data modification.

Limited decentralized governance: Cloud platforms do not natively support distributed consensus or smart contracts.

Blockchain complements cloud solutions by providing decentralized verification of data integrity, enabling trustless collaboration while cloud infrastructure handles computation-intensive tasks and storage of large datasets (9).

6. Case Studies of Blockchain Applications in Plant Sciences

6.1. Leafy Greens Supply Chain: IBM Food Trust

IBM Food Trust is one of the most prominent real-world implementations of blockchain in agriculture. The platform connects farmers, distributors, retailers, and regulators, creating an immutable ledger of transactions from farm to consumer. In leafy greens supply chains, contamination incidents previously required weeks to trace back to the source. With blockchain, traceability time has been reduced to seconds, enabling rapid response to potential food safety issues (7).

The platform also integrates IoT devices that monitor temperature, humidity, and handling conditions during transportation. By combining real-time sensor data with blockchain, the system ensures product quality and compliance while providing verifiable records for audits. This case demonstrates the effectiveness of blockchain in enhancing transparency, reducing fraud, and improving consumer trust in perishable plant products

6.2. Saffron Supply Chain in Iran and Spain

Saffron is one of the most expensive and adulterated spices globally. Ghorbani & Koocheki (6) explored blockchain implementation in saffron supply chains to ensure authenticity and traceability. Each stage—from cultivation, harvesting, drying, to packaging—was recorded on a permissioned blockchain.

Key outcomes included:

Reduced adulteration and mislabeling incidents, Improved price stability for producers by verifying product origin, Increased confidence among

international buyers. This case illustrates how blockchain can protect high-value plant commodities, ensuring transparency in markets prone to fraud and misrepresentation.

6.3. Medicinal Plant Supply Chains

Medicinal plants often require strict compliance with harvesting, processing, and storage standards to maintain efficacy and safety. Saberi et al. (12) reported pilot projects where blockchain was applied to record harvest dates, drying methods, and storage conditions.

Results included:

- Enhanced regulatory compliance for certified medicinal products
- Improved consumer trust through verifiable quality certificates
- Facilitation of audits and inspections by regulatory authorities

The combination of blockchain with IoT sensors and smart contracts ensured that each step met predefined standards before allowing subsequent actions, reducing human error and unauthorized modifications.

6.4. Collaborative Research in Plant Breeding

Although fewer studies exist, blockchain has potential in multi-institutional plant breeding projects. Li et al. (9) proposed a framework where breeding data, genetic sequences, and experimental outcomes are recorded on a consortium blockchain.

Advantages highlighted:

Secure sharing of sensitive genetic information

Enforcement of intellectual property rights via smart contracts

Transparent tracking of cross-breeding events and phenotypic measurements

While still largely conceptual, pilot implementations in collaborative research environments suggest that blockchain can enhance reproducibility, trust, and collaboration among plant scientists.

6.5. Lessons Learned from Case Studies

Across these case studies, several key lessons emerge:

1. Decentralized Verification Increases Trust: Stakeholders can independently verify data without relying on a central authority.
2. Integration with IoT is Essential: Blockchain alone is insufficient; sensor networks are crucial for real-time monitoring and reliable data capture.
3. Smart Contracts Automate Compliance: Automated enforcement reduces errors, disputes, and manual oversight requirements.
4. Industry-Specific Adaptation is Required: High-value or sensitive plant products benefit most from blockchain due to traceability needs and regulatory compliance demands.
5. Challenges Remain: Adoption barriers include technical expertise, integration costs, data privacy concerns, and scalability for large datasets.

7. Discussion: Limitations and Ethical Considerations

7.1. Critical Evaluation of Blockchain Benefits

Blockchain technology offers multiple advantages for plant sciences, including decentralized data management, immutability, enhanced traceability, and smart contract automation. These features are particularly valuable in multi-institutional research collaborations, high-value crop supply chains, and sensitive breeding programs (8, 9). However, while the benefits are substantial, a critical evaluation reveals that blockchain is not a universal solution. Its adoption should be context-dependent, guided by the specific needs of the project or supply chain. For example:

High-value or fraud-prone crops (saffron, medicinal herbs) gain significant benefit from enhanced traceability and transparency (6). Collaborative research programs involving multiple institutions benefit from secure, auditable data sharing, which blockchain can facilitate (9). Large-scale phenotyping projects may encounter performance limitations due to blockchain's throughput constraints, suggesting a hybrid approach with off-chain storage may be necessary (14).

7.2. Limitations and Technical Challenges

Despite its promise, blockchain adoption in plant sciences faces several technical challenges:

1. Scalability: Public blockchains, especially those using proof-of-work, may struggle to handle high-frequency, large-volume data generated by genomics, phenomics, and IoT sensors. Consortium or permissioned blockchains are more suitable but may still face scaling issues.
2. Integration with Legacy Systems: Many research institutions and supply chain stakeholders already use centralized databases or cloud-based platforms. Integrating blockchain without disrupting existing workflows requires careful planning and technical expertise (9).
3. Energy Consumption: PoW-based systems are energy-intensive. While PoS or PBFT consensus mechanisms mitigate this, energy considerations remain relevant for sustainability-conscious applications.
4. Cost and Expertise: Initial deployment, maintenance, and smart contract development require specialized knowledge, which may be a barrier for smaller institutions or producers.

7.3. Data Privacy and Security Concerns

While blockchain ensures immutability, it does not automatically guarantee data confidentiality. Sensitive data, such as proprietary breeding lines or genomic sequences, require encryption and permissioned access controls (1). Moreover, regulatory compliance must be considered. For example:

GDPR and other data privacy laws impose restrictions on storage, access, and sharing of personal or sensitive data.

Intellectual property and plant variety protection laws require careful management of access and licensing,

which blockchain must accommodate via smart contracts (9).

Without these measures, blockchain implementation may inadvertently expose confidential data or violate legal standards.

7.4. Ethical and Social Considerations

Adopting blockchain in plant sciences raises several ethical considerations:

1. **Equitable Access:** Smallholder farmers or researchers in developing regions may lack resources to participate in blockchain networks, potentially exacerbating inequalities.
2. **Transparency vs. Privacy:** While transparency is a core benefit, revealing too much information (e.g., proprietary breeding strategies) could compromise competitive advantage. Balancing transparency with confidentiality is essential.
3. **Consent and Governance:** Stakeholders must consent to data sharing, and governance structures should clearly define roles, responsibilities, and dispute resolution mechanisms. Consortium blockchains with shared governance can address these concerns (8).

7.5. Future Research Directions

Several future directions can enhance the utility and adoption of blockchain in plant sciences:

Hybrid Storage Models: Combining on-chain verification with off-chain bulk storage can address scalability issues.

Integration with AI and IoT: Automated decision-making and real-time monitoring will increase system efficiency and accuracy.

Standardization and Interoperability: Developing common protocols for plant data will facilitate multi-institutional collaboration and international adoption.

Policy and Ethical Frameworks: Research into governance models, legal compliance, and ethical standards will support responsible adoption.

Performance Optimization: Research into energy-efficient consensus mechanisms, layer-2 scaling solutions, and optimized smart contract execution can improve usability.

7.6. Concluding Remarks

In conclusion, blockchain represents a promising technology for enhancing data integrity, traceability, and collaboration in plant sciences. However, adoption must be strategic, context-aware, and ethically responsible, taking into account technical limitations, regulatory requirements, and social impact. While challenges exist, ongoing research, technological refinement, and cross-stakeholder collaboration can unlock blockchain's full potential in plant research, breeding, and high-value crop supply chains.

8. Ethical and Regulatory Considerations in Blockchain for Plant Sciences

8.1. Ethical Considerations

The adoption of blockchain in plant sciences raises several ethical challenges that must be addressed to ensure responsible use:

1. **Equity and Access:** Smallholder farmers, local research institutions, and under-resourced laboratories may face barriers to participation in blockchain networks due to costs, technical expertise, or digital infrastructure limitations. Ensuring inclusive access is critical to avoid widening existing inequalities (8).
2. **Data Privacy vs. Transparency:** While blockchain provides transparency and immutability, some plant science data—such as proprietary breeding information or sensitive genomic sequences—require confidentiality. Ethical frameworks must balance transparency with privacy, ensuring that competitive or sensitive data are protected (1).
3. **Consent and Governance:** All stakeholders should provide informed consent for data sharing, and clear governance structures must define roles, responsibilities, dispute resolution mechanisms, and decision-making authority in consortium blockchains (9).
4. **Environmental Responsibility:** Although blockchain enhances traceability and accountability, certain implementations—especially those using Proof-of-Work—can be energy-intensive. Ethical deployment should consider sustainable consensus mechanisms, such as Proof-of-Stake or Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) (13).

8.2. Regulatory Considerations

Blockchain applications in plant sciences intersect with legal and regulatory frameworks at multiple levels:

1. **Data Protection Laws:** Regulations such as GDPR in Europe or national data privacy laws require secure storage, consent for data collection, and the right to delete or correct personal data. While blockchain ensures immutability, solutions must incorporate off-chain storage or encrypted identifiers to comply with legal requirements (9).
2. **Plant Variety Protection (PVP) and Intellectual Property:** Proprietary breeding programs, genetically modified plants, and new cultivars may be subject to intellectual property laws. Blockchain can record ownership and licensing agreements via smart contracts, facilitating compliance while protecting breeders' rights (8).
3. **Supply Chain and Food Safety Regulations:** For high-value crops, medicinal plants, or perishable goods, blockchain traceability can support regulatory reporting, certification, and auditability, ensuring compliance with local and international standards (6, 7).
4. **Interoperability and Standardization:** Regulatory compliance may require blockchain systems to interface with existing databases, IoT platforms, or governmental systems. Developing common

standards and interoperable protocols is essential for effective multi-jurisdictional adoption.

8.3. Best Practices

To navigate ethical and regulatory challenges, several best practices are recommended:

Permissioned Blockchains: Limit access to authorized participants to protect sensitive data while retaining auditability. **Data Encryption:** Encrypt confidential datasets on-chain and store sensitive bulk data off-chain. **Governance Frameworks:** Establish clear consortium rules, including consent mechanisms, dispute resolution, and decision-making hierarchies. **Sustainable Consensus Mechanisms:** Use energy-efficient consensus protocols to minimize environmental impact.

9. Limitations of Blockchain in Plant Sciences

While blockchain offers significant potential in plant sciences, it is important to recognize its limitations to provide a balanced and realistic perspective.

9.1. Scalability and Performance Constraints

Public blockchains, particularly those using Proof-of-Work (PoW), may struggle with high-frequency data such as IoT sensor streams, phenotyping measurements, or large-scale genomic datasets. These performance constraints can lead to delays or higher transaction costs. Permissioned or consortium blockchains mitigate these issues but still require careful design to manage large-scale data efficiently (9, 14).

Positive perspective: Hybrid solutions combining on-chain verification with off-chain storage can preserve blockchain benefits while improving scalability.

9.2. Integration with Existing Systems

Many plant science institutions already rely on centralized databases, cloud platforms, or legacy systems. Integrating blockchain with these infrastructures requires technical adaptation, data standardization, and API development (8).

Positive perspective: Gradual integration using permissioned blockchains or middleware solutions allows organizations to adopt blockchain without disrupting ongoing operations.

9.3. Energy Efficiency and Sustainability

Energy-intensive consensus mechanisms like PoW raise sustainability concerns. Even with more efficient methods such as Proof-of-Stake (PoS) or Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT), energy usage and system maintenance are important considerations (13).

Positive perspective: Selecting energy-efficient consensus protocols and combining blockchain with cloud or IoT infrastructures can minimize environmental impact.

9.4. Cost and Technical Expertise

Implementing blockchain requires investment in infrastructure, software development, and staff training. Smaller research institutions or local farmers may face resource and knowledge limitations (1).

Positive perspective: Consortium blockchains or shared infrastructure models can reduce costs and distribute

expertise among participants, making adoption more feasible.

9.5. Data Privacy and Regulatory Compliance

Blockchain immutability may conflict with regulations like GDPR, which allows modification or deletion of personal or sensitive data. Sensitive plant information, proprietary breeding lines, or intellectual property must be encrypted or stored off-chain, with controlled access (9, 13).

Positive perspective: Smart contracts and permissioned blockchains allow secure, auditable, and legally compliant data sharing, ensuring trust without violating regulations.

9.6. Adoption Barriers and Stakeholder Acceptance

Blockchain adoption depends on user trust and understanding. Resistance to change, low digital literacy, or unclear governance models can slow uptake (8).

Positive perspective: Providing training, clear governance frameworks, and demonstrable benefits encourages adoption and ensures sustainable implementation.

10. Conclusion and Future Directions

10.1. Conclusion

Blockchain technology offers a promising approach for enhancing transparency, traceability, and collaboration in plant sciences. Its key benefits include:

Decentralized and tamper-proof data management, which ensures data integrity and trust across multi-institutional collaborations (8).

Enhanced supply chain traceability, particularly for high-value or fraud-prone crops such as saffron or medicinal plants (6).

Automation through smart contracts, which enables efficient enforcement of rules, compliance, and data-sharing agreements (1).

However, successful adoption depends on careful consideration of technical, regulatory, and social factors, including scalability, data privacy, energy efficiency, stakeholder acceptance, and governance structures (9). By addressing these challenges, blockchain can provide a robust framework for future innovation in plant science research and high-value crop supply chains.

10.2. Future Directions

Several research avenues and practical implementations are suggested to maximize the potential of blockchain in plant sciences:

10.2.1. Hybrid On-Chain/Off-Chain Models

Combining on-chain verification for critical data with off-chain storage for large datasets, such as genomic sequences or phenotyping images, can enhance scalability and efficiency without sacrificing transparency (14).

10.2.2. Integration with IoT and AI

Integrating blockchain with IoT sensors for real-time monitoring and AI/ML models for predictive analytics

can improve crop management, quality control, and decision-making (8, 9).

10.2.3. Standardization and Interoperability

Developing common data standards and protocols will facilitate cross-institutional collaboration, regulatory compliance, and international adoption. Interoperability with existing databases and cloud platforms is crucial for practical implementation.

10.2.4. Energy-Efficient Consensus Mechanisms

Research into sustainable blockchain protocols, such as Proof-of-Stake, PBFT, or Layer-2 scaling solutions, will minimize environmental impact while maintaining the security and immutability of the network (13).

10.2.5. Governance and Ethical Frameworks

Establishing clear governance models, ethical guidelines, and stakeholder engagement strategies will ensure responsible adoption, equitable access, and legal compliance in global plant science applications (1, 8).

10.2.6. Pilot Projects and Measurable Outcomes

Implementing pilot blockchain projects in diverse plant supply chains or research collaborations will provide real-world data on performance, traceability improvements, and stakeholder acceptance. Quantitative outcomes, such as reduction in fraud or faster data verification, can support broader adoption.

10.3. Final Remarks

Blockchain is not a panacea, but when deployed thoughtfully, it can transform plant science research, breeding programs, and high-value crop supply chains. By combining technical innovation with ethical, regulatory, and practical considerations, researchers and practitioners can unlock blockchain's full potential while ensuring sustainable and equitable outcomes.

References

1. Abeywardena D, Perera H, Chinthaka S. Smart contracts in agriculture: Opportunities and challenges. *IEEE Access*. 2021; 9: 14587–14599. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3050451>
2. Bosona, T.; Gebresenbet, G. The Role of Blockchain Technology in Promoting Traceability Systems in Agri-Food Production and Supply Chains. *Sensors* 2023, 23, 5342. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23115342>
3. A. Dorri, S. S. Kanhere, R. Jurdak and P. Gauravaram, "Blockchain for IoT security and privacy: The case study of a smart home," 2017 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PerCom Workshops), Kona, HI, USA, 2017, pp. 618-623, doi: 10.1109/PERCOMW.2017.7917634.
4. López-Santiago J, Mazarrón FR, Gómez-Villarino MT. Exploring Sustainability in Wineries: Evaluating Food Safety and Environmental Management Aligning with the Farm to Fork Strategy. *Agriculture*. 2024. doi:10.3390/agriculture14030330
5. Galvez JF, Mejuto JC, Simal-Gandara J. Future challenges on the use of blockchain for food traceability analysis. *Trends Anal Chem*. 2018; 107: 222–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.08.011>
6. Ghorbani R, Koocheki A. Blockchain technology for saffron supply chain traceability. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2021; 58: 2790–2803. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-020-04762-2>
7. IBM. IBM Food Trust: Transforming food supply chains with blockchain. 2020. <https://www.ibm.com/blockchain/solutions/food-trust>
8. Kamilaris A, Fonts A, Prenafeta-Boldú FX. The rise of blockchain technology in agriculture and food supply chains. *Trends Food Sci Technol*. 2019; 91: 640–652. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.034>
9. Li L, Jiang P, Chen T, Luo X, Wen Q. Blockchain in agriculture: Applications, challenges, and future research directions. *Comput Electron Agric*. 2022; 195: 106730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.106730>
10. LIN, Xiuli. Analysis of agricultural product information traceability and customer preference based on blockchain. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 2022.1: 1935233. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1935233>
11. NAKAMOTO, Satoshi, et al. Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system [online]. 2008.
12. Saberi S, Kouhizadeh M, Sarkis J, Shen L. Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *Int J Prod Res*. 2019; 57(7): 2117–2135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1533261>
13. Salah K, Rehman MHU, Nizamuddin N, Al-Fuqaha A. Blockchain for AI: Review and open research challenges. *IEEE Access*. 2019; 7: 10127–10149. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2890505>
14. Sharma A, Thakur P. IoT-based blockchain applications in precision agriculture: A review. *Sustainability*. 2021; 13(15): 8413. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158413>
15. Tian F. An agri-food supply chain traceability system for China based on RFID & blockchain technology. In: 13th International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management. 2016; 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSSSM.2016.7538424>
16. Tripoli M, Schmidhuber J. Emerging opportunities for the application of blockchain in the agri-food sector. *FAO Agricultural Development Economics Technical Study*. 2018; 1–34. <http://www.fao.org/3/CA2903EN/ca2903en.pdf>
17. Wang L, Li Y, Wang J. Blockchain technology in agriculture: A systematic review. *Agric Syst*. 2020; 183: 102859. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2020.102859>